



REGULAR BOARD MEETING

APRIL 25, 2017



# STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT

PROVIDING SERVICE SINCE 1948

[www.sewd.net](http://www.sewd.net)

## DIRECTORS

Richard Atkins  
Division 1

Andrew Watkins  
Division 2

Alvin Cortopassi  
Division 3

Melvin Panizza  
Vice President  
Division 4

Paul Sanguinetti  
Division 5

Loralee McGaughey  
Division 6

Thomas McGurk  
President  
Division 7

## STAFF

Scot A. Moody  
General Manager

Michael D. Johnson  
Assistant General Manager

## LEGAL COUNSEL

Jeanne M. Zolezzi  
General Counsel

Phone 209-948-0333  
Fax 209-948-0423

E-mail [sewd@sewd.net](mailto:sewd@sewd.net)

6767 East Main Street  
Stockton, CA 95215

Post Office Box 5157  
Stockton, CA 95205

## MEETING NOTICE

THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT WILL BE HELD  
AT NOON, TUESDAY, APRIL 25, 2017 AT THE  
DISTRICT OFFICE, 6767 EAST MAIN STREET  
STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA 95215

**Assistance for the Disabled:** If you are disabled in any way and need accommodation to participate in the meeting, please contact Kristin Carido, Administrative Services Manager (209) 948-0333 at least 48-hours in advance for assistance so the necessary arrangements can be made.

Agendas and minutes are located on our website at [www.sewd.net](http://www.sewd.net).

## AGENDA

### Page No

<b>A. Pledge of Allegiance (Director McGaughey) &amp; Roll Call</b>	
<b>B. Consent Calendar (None)</b>	
<b>C. Public Comment (Non-Agenda Items)</b>	
<b>D. Scheduled Presentations and Agenda Items</b>	
1. Minutes 04/18/17 Regular Meeting	01
2. Warrants	
a. Fund 68 – Municipal & Industrial Groundwater Fund	07
b. Fund 70 – Administration Fund	09
c. Fund 71 – Water Supply Fund	11
d. Fund 91 – Vehicle Fund	13
e. Fund 94 – Municipal & Industrial Fund	15
f. Payroll	17
g. SEWD Checking	19
h. Summary	21
i. Short Names/Acronym List	23
j. SEWD Vehicles & Heavy Equipment	25
3. Notice of Acceptance of Completions	
a. Annual Instrumentation Loop Calibration	27
b. Triennial High Voltage Testing for Electrical Distribution Equipment	29
4. Association of California Water Agencies' Policy Statement on Bay-Delta Flow Requirements – Request for Support	31
a. Resolution No. 17-18-03 – Support of Association of California Water Agencies' Policy Statement	37

5.	Stockton East Water District – Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board Comment Letter Regarding Proposed Basin Plan Amendment, 04/14/17	39
6.	Central Valley Project Water Association – 14 <sup>th</sup> Billing for Senate Bill (SB) 1049 Litigation Costs (For the Period 04/01/15-02/28/17)	43
<b>E.</b>	<b>Committee Reports</b>	
1.	Delta Coalition Committee Meeting, 04/10/17	51
<b>F.</b>	<b>Report of the General Manager</b>	
1.	Water Supply Report as of 04/17/17	53
2.	Information Items	
a.	Material Included, but Bound Separately from Agenda Packet:	
1.	<u>California Water Plan eNEWS</u> , Department of Water Resources, 04/19/17	
2.	<u>Bill Eliminates Local Services Without Due Process And Repeals Audit Reforms</u> , California Special Districts Association, 04/18/17	
3.	<u>Melones Now Four-Fifths Full</u> , www.mymotherlode.com, 04/17/17	
4.	<u>Why Celebrate The Latest Rainstorm? Because Northern California Has Set A New Record</u> , The Sacramento Bee, 04/13/17	
5.	<u>Amid Greener Pastures, Ranchers Stay Cautious</u> , AgAlert, 04/19/17	
6.	<u>Accounting For Water In The San Joaquin Valley</u> , UC Davis Center For Watershed Sciences – CA Water Blog, 04/16/17	
7.	<u>State Will Send More Water To Southern California As It Boosts Deliveries To Highest Levels In 11 Years</u> , The Los Angeles Times, 04/14/17	
8.	<u>Company That Made Folsom Dam Safer Lands Job Of Fixing Oroville Dam</u> , The Sacramento Bee, 04/17/17	
9.	<u>California’s Water Chief Says He May Release Oroville Dam Documents After Trying To Keep Them Secret</u> , The Sacramento Bee, 04/13/17	
10.	<u>Trump’s Land Official Meets With Brown: ‘We Want To Be The Friendly, Yep Organization’</u> , The Sacramento Bee, 04/13/17	

3. Report on General Manager Activities
    - a. ACWA State Legislative Committee Meeting, 04/21/17
- 55

**G. Director Reports**

**H. Communications**

**I. Agenda Planning/Upcoming Events**

1. San Joaquin Farm Bureau Federation – Water Committee Meeting, 5:30 p.m., 04/25/17
2. AD HOC Technical Review Committee Meeting for the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Work Group, 2:00 p.m., 04/26/17
3. Greater Stockton Chamber of Commerce – Business Education Alliance (BEA) Breakfast Symposium – Stockton Golf & Country Club, 7:30 a.m., 04/28/17
4. San Joaquin Council of Governments 2017 One Voice Trip, 04/30/17 – 05/04/17

**J. Report of the Counsel**

1. Closed Session - Existing Litigation  
Central San Joaquin Water Conservation District  
vs. Stockton East Water District  
Government Code 54956.9 (a)
2. Closed Session - Potential Litigation  
Government Code 54956.9 (c) – one case

**K. Adjournment**

**Certification of Posting**

I hereby certify that on April 20, 2017 I posted a copy of the foregoing agenda in the outside display case at the District Office, 6767 East Main Street, Stockton, California, said time being at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Stockton East Water District (Government Code Section 54954.2).

Executed at Stockton, California on April 20, 2017.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Kristin Carido, Administrative Services Manager  
Stockton East Water District

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
OF STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT WAS HELD AT THE DISTRICT OFFICE  
6767 EAST MAIN STREET, STOCKTON, CA  
ON TUESDAY, APRIL 18, 2017 AT 12:00 NOON

**A. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND ROLL CALL**

President McGurk called the regular meeting to order at 12:22 p.m., and Director Panizza led the Pledge of Allegiance.

Present at roll call were Directors Atkins, Cortopassi, McGaughey, McGurk, Panizza, Sanguinetti and Watkins. Also present were Assistant Manager Johnson, District Engineer Lee, Finance Director Naray, Administrative Services Manager Carido, Accountant Vega, Administrative Clerk Curtis and Legal Counsel Zolezzi.

**B. CONSENT CALENDAR (None)**

**C. PUBLIC COMMENT (None)**

**D. SCHEDULED PRESENTATIONS AND AGENDA ITEMS**

1. Minutes 04/11/17 Regular Meeting

A motion was moved and seconded to approve the April 11, 2017 Regular Meeting Minutes, as presented.

Roll Call:

Ayes: Atkins, Cortopassi, McGaughey, McGurk, Panizza, Sanguinetti, Watkins  
Nays: None  
Abstain: None  
Absent: None

2. Warrants – California Public Employees’ Retirement System

A motion was moved and seconded to approve the April 18, 2017 Warrants – California Public Employees’ Retirement System, as presented.

Roll Call:

Ayes: Atkins, Cortopassi, McGaughey, McGurk, Panizza, Sanguinetti, Watkins  
Nays: None  
Abstain: None  
Absent: None

3. Cancellation of May 9, 2017 Stockton East Water District Regular Board Meeting

Assistant Manager Johnson provided the Board with a draft cancellation notice for the May 9, 2017 Stockton East Water District Regular Board of Directors Meeting.

A motion was moved and seconded to approve to cancel the May 9, 2017 Stockton East Water District Regular Board Meeting.

Roll Call:

Ayes: Atkins, Cortopassi, McGaughey, McGurk, Panizza, Sanguinetti, Watkins

Nayes: None  
Abstain: None  
Absent: None

4. Stockton East Water District – IT/SCADA Master Plan – Presentation

Assistant Manager Johnson provided the Board with a handout on the IT/SCADA Master Plan – Midpoint Progress Report. Assistant Manager Johnson reported the IT/SCADA Master Plan is a 5-year project with an original total estimate of \$9,750,000 in 2014. The Master Plan set prioritization criteria for the entire project and staff established a budget line for each task to track progress.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported we are currently in the 3<sup>rd</sup> year of the Plan and were estimated to have spent \$4,660,000, but have actually spent only \$1,009,510. Assistant Manager Johnson reported a component of this lower amount of monies spent was a result of staff's good decisions. One example is the inventory of District desktop computers. There were 48 computers identified for replacement, but in reviewing the inventory, staff found many computers that were unnecessary and therefore did not replace them.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported the telecommunications task increased slightly from the original estimate to accommodate all telecommunication subscriptions, including Gopher Ridge, District phones, and phone system and radio communications in District vehicles.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported the SCADA portion of the Plan has not yet started, but the SCADA system has been maintained. Assistant Manager Johnson reported it is anticipated the SCADA portion will take 3-4 years.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported the IT infrastructure network design started in 2016. The design has since been completed and the fiber optic cables are on track for installation.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported the major District applications like Financial Edge and Maintenance Connection have been assessed and re-designed to be compatible with District processes. The re-design was more cost effective than switching to new applications. Director Atkins inquired if these applications are unique to each District that may use them. Assistant Manager Johnson replied each is a base application, that is then tailored to the necessities of each entity that would utilize them.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported IT support includes the District's contract with Verve, website development and other professional services as needed.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported server improvements have been completed. The District servers were replaced in 2014, the Storage Area Network servers were upgraded in 2015 and District operating systems were upgraded in 2016.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported the Program Management task includes all software licenses and annual subscription fees, which will be ongoing costs to maintain the licenses and fees. Director Atkins inquired if the District leases the software. Assistant Manager Johnson replied the District pays subscription fees and any fee that is over \$10,000 is converted to a fixed asset in Financial Edge.



Director Sanguinetti inquired if this Plan includes solutions for back-up power in the event of a power outage to eliminate the systems burning up. Assistant Manager Johnson replied all workstations are on Uninterruptable Power Supplies (UPS) which keep computers running until the back-up generators kick-in. The UPS filters the power to ensure it is only clean power running to the equipment. Director Sanguinetti inquired if the UPS' are inspected periodically. Assistant Manager Johnson replied yes, they are checked annually. One of the upgrades in the Plan is to improve the back-up and recovery systems, which would include getting a network monitoring system to monitor the UPS' online.

Director Cortopassi inquired on the \$6,809,510 midpoint revised projection cost. Assistant Manager Johnson replied the new cost includes funds that have already been spent and what is being projected as remaining costs.

Director Atkins inquired what the projected estimate is for upkeep of the Plan components. Assistant Manager Johnson replied it depends on the lifecycle of the items. Desktops are on a 3-year replacement cycle, IT infrastructure 10-15 years and servers every 5-years.

Director Cortopassi inquired if these Plan items have been budgeted. Assistant Manager Johnson replied yes, however, the Board can anticipate a spike in the budget for M&I for the Treatment Plant SCADA system when that is implemented.

President McGurk inquired what the number 10 prefix in the line item number represents. Accountant Vega replied it is a precursor in the Financial Edge system to differentiate between different accounts. This item was for information only.

5. Association of California Water Agencies' Policy Statement on Bay-Delta Flow Requirements – Request for Support

a. Resolution No. 17-18-03 – Support of Association of California Water Agencies' Policy Statement

Assistant Manager Johnson provided the Board with Resolution No. 17-18-03 – Support of Association of California Water Agencies' (ACWA) Policy Statement.

President McGurk commented the District does not support everything listed in the resolution provided by ACWA. Legal Counsel Zolezzi replied most of what is outlined in the resolution is good and nothing the District would oppose. ACWA's thought is if they can get a number of agencies to support them the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) would pull back.

Director Panizza commented this resolution might be better stated by stating the District understands the strategy of ACWA, without the Board signing and approving everything listed in the resolution.

Director Sanguinetti suggested contacting ACWA and advising the District does not agree with the resolution in its entirety. Legal Counsel Zolezzi replied staff can modify the resolution to agree with the District's position.

Director Sanguinetti suggested modifying the agreement and bringing it back to the Board for consideration and approval.



6. Notice of Acceptance of Completions

Director Cortopassi inquired why it is necessary for the Board to approve the Notices of Acceptance of Completions (NOC) if staff executed the contract. Legal Counsel Zolezzi replied staff can complete the NOCs without Board approval if the contract was drawn up as part of the normal course of business.

Director Cortopassi commented if the Board will be approving NOCs the documents should be accompanied by additional information explaining the work that was completed.

Director Sanguinetti recommended staff research who approved the contracts and bring additional information back to the Board next week for consideration.

a. Annual Instrumentation Loop Calibration

Assistant Manager Johnson provided the Board with the Notice of Acceptance of Completion (NOC) for Annual Instrumentation Loop Calibration.

b. Triennial High Voltage Testing for Electrical Distribution Equipment

Assistant Manager Johnson provided the Board with the Notice of Acceptance of Completion (NOC) for Triennial High Voltage Testing for Electrical Distribution Equipment.

**B. COMMITTEE REPORTS**

1. Delta Coalition Committee Meeting, 04/10/17

Consultant Barkett attended the April 10, 2017 Delta Coalition Committee Meeting. President McGurk recommended bringing this item back for discussion next week as Consultant Barkett is absent.

2. Groundwater Banking Authority Coordinating Committee & Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Work Group Joint Meeting, 04/12/17

Directors Panizza and Manager Moody attended the April 12, 2017 Groundwater Banking Authority (GBA) Coordinating Committee & Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Work Group Joint Meeting. Director Panizza reported discussion focused on the status of the GBA and how to proceed with the Committee in the future. Director Panizza reported staff estimates there will be 19-20 members on the Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) Board of Directors. Currently there are a handful of Districts that have not completed the required paperwork. Director Panizza reported the maps for each Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) will go before the San Joaquin County Board of Supervisors on May 9, 2017 for Board approval to be sent to the State. Director Panizza reported Brandon Nakagawa presented a brief overview of the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) activities to date. Director Panizza reported there was a presentation on the status and future of the Integrated Regional Water Management Plan (IRWMP). Director Cortopassi inquired on what the IRWMP is. Director Panizza replied if there is no IRWMP updated and in place, entities cannot apply for grant money. Director Panizza reported there was discussion on eliminating the GBA and transferring function to other committees, however there is no resolve to inquiry. Regina Rubier reported there was discussion on treating the GBA like the Eastern Water Alliance and utilizing the group only when necessary. The next meeting is scheduled for May 10, 2017.

3. Stockton Area Water Suppliers Meeting, 04/17/17

Assistant Manager Johnson reported this meeting was cancelled.

## **F. REPORT OF GENERAL MANAGER**

### **1. Water Supply Report as of 04/17/17**

Assistant Manager Johnson provided a handout of the Water Supply Report that included storage, release, and production data collected from various sources as of midnight last night.

Assistant Manager Johnson reported there is 233,344 AF in storage at New Hogan Reservoir. Current releases are set at 17 cfs. There is 1,917,849 AF in storage at New Melones Reservoir. Current release at Goodwin Dam to Stanislaus River are set at 2,209 cfs and release to all water users are set at 2,603 cfs. The water treatment plant is currently processing 12 mgd. The City of Stockton's water treatment plant is currently processing 16 mgd.

Director Cortopassi inquired why the District uses New Melones water if New Hogan water can be used. Legal Counsel Zolezzi replied it has been the Board's policy to use New Melones water first to save New Hogan water and maximize our use.

### **2. Information Items:**

Manager Moody noted items: F2a-1, F2a-2, F2a-3, F2a-4, F2a-5, F2a-6, F2a-7, F2a-8, F2a-9 and F2a-10.

### **3. Report on General Manager Activities**

## **G. DIRECTOR REPORTS**

### **1. San Joaquin Council of Governments One-Voice Pre-Trip Meeting, 04/17/17**

Director Sanguinetti attended the April 17, 2017 San Joaquin Council of Governments One-Voice Pre-Trip Meeting. Director Sanguinetti reported the group is set for the trip and there will be some earmarks coming back.

President McGurk attended the ACWA Region 4 – Event Planning Conference Call on April 14, 2017. President McGurk reported Region 4 has planned a couple joint events. The first will be hosted by Regions 3 & 4 on Friday, August 11, 2017 in South Lake Tahoe; the theme will be head waters. President McGurk reported a Region 4 Director John Mensinger is in the lumber business and feels lumber has been left out of the headwater discussion. This event will help to try and integrate lumber aspects into headwater issues. President McGurk reported the second event will be hosted by Regions 2 & 4 in late September, early November and will include a ranch tour covering topics of food, fish, water and fowl. Both events will be held the day prior to the ACWA Board Meetings to capture as many participants as possible.

Director McGaughey complimented staff on the State Legislative Tour invitation.

## **H. COMMUNICATIONS (None)**

## **I. AGENDA PLANNING/UPCOMING EVENTS**

### **1. San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District Advisory Water Commission Meeting, 1:00 p.m., 04/19/17**

*[This meeting was cancelled]*

### **2. ACWA State Legislative Committee Meeting, 10:00 a.m., 04/21/17**

Board Meeting – 04/18/17

Draft

**J. REPORT OF THE COUNSEL**

1. Closed Session - Potential Litigation  
Government Code 54956.9 (c) – three cases

President McGurk adjourned the meeting to closed session at 1:32 p.m. to discuss closed session agenda items. The regular meeting reconvened at 1:55 p.m., with no reportable action.

**J. ADJOURNMENT**

President McGurk adjourned the meeting at 1:56 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Scot A. Moody  
Secretary of the Board

tbc

**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
INVOICES FOR BOARD PACKAGE  
April 25, 2017**

Vendor name	District Fund#	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
1 PG&E 1289619691-7	68	10-5302-0	<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL GW FUND 68</b> Electricity @ 6767 EMain-Extrac Well South 03/11/17-04/10/17	29.77	12896196917-04/11/17
2 PG&E 4758034525-5	68	10-5302-0	Electricity @ 6767 EMain-Extr Well North 03/11/17-04/10/17	29.77	47580345255-04/11/17
3 Stockton Windustrial Co.	68	10-5351-0	Supplies for safety interlock system on North Well	29.50	271293 00
			<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL GW FUND 68 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$89.04</b>	

*MDJ*

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
INVOICES FOR BOARD PACKAGE  
April 25, 2017**

Vendor name	District Fund#	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
			<b>ADMIN FUND 70</b>		
1 ACWA Joint Powers Insurance Authority	70	10-5048-0	1st qtr 2017 worker's comp ins-Admn.	2,515.83	1st qtr 2017-EMP
2 Anthony Barkett	70	10-5155-0	May 2017 consulting fees-Efforts to protect NM water/Calaveras River	5,500.00	May 2017
3 AT&T Messaging	70	10-5141-0	Mar 2017 Ag call-in prog voicemail services 209-469-3335	14.84	7267713
4 CA State Water Resources Control Board	70	10-5114-0	T2 TP Operator Certification renewal fees	80.00	T2EMorley 2017
5 Doyce Boesch	70	10-5155-0	May 2017 consulting services by Doyce Boesch	7,000.00	May 2017
6 Fieldman, Rolapp & Associates Inc	70	10-5154-0	Prof services 2017 refunding bonds	9,774.25	23111
7 Frontier Communications	70	10-5141-0	04/16/17-05/16/17 Communication-Farmington Dam Blockhouse-WTP	43.37	2098861876-04/16/17
8 Industrial Safety Supply	70	10-5101-0	Safety supplies ordered on 03/28/17 & 03/29/17	105.79	1045813
9 Kristin V. Coon	70	10-5131-0	Prof.service Water Conservation Educ. May 1-31 2017	15,583.33	2017-04
10 Nortek Pest Control	70	10-5154-0	April 2017 pest control services	110.00	April 2017
11 OnTrac	70	10-5126-0	Admin. dept mailing expenses week ending 04/01/17-04/08/17	197.95	8604723
12 Red Wing Shoe Store	70	10-5101-0	2017 Safety shoe allowance JStephens & CMaldonado	374.79	0008-835
13 SEWD Checking Account	70	10-5104-0	Reimbursement of misc. expenses to District Checking Account*	813.96	2962
14 Smart & Final	70	10-5125-0	SEWD board rooms supplies ordered on 04/14/17	487.58	134578
15 TPX Communications Telepacific	70	10-5141-0	April 2017 telephone charges for SEWD	2,759.75	89448943-0
16 US Bank Corp Payment Systems	70	10-5125-0	SEWD office supplies ordered on 03/19/17 (Amazon)	14.02	Cahoon8501-032217e
17 US Bank Office Equipment Finance Services	70	10-5124-0	Apr 20, 2017-May 20, 2017 SEWD copiers (6) lease	1,563.92	April 2017
			<b>ADMIN FUND 70 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$46,939.38</b>	

*msj*

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK



**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
INVOICES FOR BOARD PACKAGE  
April 25, 2017**

Vendor name	District Fund#	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
			<b>WATER SUPPLY FUND 71</b>		
1 ACWA Joint Powers Insurance Authority	71	10-5057-0	1st qtr 2017 worker's comp ins-WS-NH	3,247.18	1st qtr 2017-EMP
2 ACWA Joint Powers Insurance Authority	71	10-5048-0	1st qtr 2017 worker's comp ins-WS-NM	10,831.06	1st qtr 2017-EMP
3 Applied Industrial Technologies	71	10-5217-0	Flange bearing repairs to Duck Creek trash rack	245.78	7010178642
4 Foster Lumber	71	10-5204-0	Wood for repairs to Bellota	681.77	633980
5 G&K Services, Inc.	71	10-5213-0	04/06/17-04/13/17 weekly laundry service-WS	102.71	1057391849
6 HDS White Cap Construction Supply	71	10-5217-0	Fasteners for repairs for Lower Farmington Canal	192.90	10006799004
7 Holt of CA	71	10-5217-0	Dump truck rental for repairs to Lower Farmington Canal	1,750.34	T7272201
8 Modesto Steel Company	71	10-5204-0	Steel for repairs to Bellota uprights	260.21	171852
9 Paul E. Vaz Trucking, Inc.	71	10-5217-0	Riprap & freight charges for repairs on LFC near Bird Cage	4,319.75	48270/71
10 PG&E 1949656419-6	71	10-5213-0	Electricity 031517-041417 Bellota Blockhouse accrued	422.20	1496564196-04/14/17
11 PG&E 2333223109-3	71	10-5213-0	Electricity from 03/15/17 to 04/13/17 Sonora Rd-NMCF	54.01	23332231093-04/14/17
12 PG&E 8683314685-4	71	10-5213-0	Electricity @ Duck Cr trash rack 03/14/17 to 04/14/17	153.90	86833146854-04/13/17
			<b>WATER SUPPLY FUND 71 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 22,261.81</b>	

*MDG*

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
INVOICES FOR BOARD PACKAGE  
April 25, 2017**

Vendor name	District Fund#	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
			<b>VEHICLE FUND 91</b>		
1 Advanced Trailer Sales and Service	91	10-5182-0	Replacement tarp and joint for Unit # 53	150.16	1068591
2 Autozone Stores Inc.	91	10-5182-0	Molding Tape for repair to Unit #69	7.47	4036298845
3 Batteries Plus Bulbs	91	10-5182-0	Replacement battery ro Unit #47	146.76	313-388893/391034
4 Big Valley Tractor	91	10-5182-0	Fluids and filters to service Unit #52	405.37	P69196
5 Grainger, Inc.	91	10-5182-0	Grease for district vehicles	192.33	9389187783
6 Holt of CA	91	10-5182-0	Wearpads for Unit #44 (Gene Boom)	188.77	PS080112845
7 Interstate Truck Center	91	10-5182-0	Parts & labor to correct extreme smoking condition on Unit #45	2,332.21	01S25067
8 Interstate Truck Center	91	10-5182-0	DPF cleaning for Unit #45	726.10	01S25011
9 Interstate Truck Center	91	10-5182-0	DPF cleaning for Unit #31	780.45	01S24773.02
10 J. Milano Company, Inc.	91	10-5182-0	Hitch receiver and lug bolts for Unit #47	39.86	255965
11 J. Milano Company, Inc.	91	10-5182-0	Spring and rod for Unit #38	53.29	257760
12 John Deere Financial/Farm Plan	91	10-5182-0	Relay to repair Unit #38 AC/Cab Heater/ Defroster Fan	16.06	339428
13 John Deere Financial/Farm Plan	91	10-5182-0	Resistor to repair Unit #38 AC/Cab Heater/ Defroster Fan	65.23	339079
14 Les Schwab Tire Center	91	10-5182-0	Replacement tire for Unit #16	437.97	65700136878
15 Valley Pacific Petroleum Services	91	10-5182-0	1600 gals clear diesel @\$2.3940/gallon	3,830.50	INV-492097
			<b>VEHICLE FUND 91 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 9,372.53</b>	

*MDG*

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
INVOICES FOR BOARD PACKAGE  
April 25, 2017**

Vendor name	District Fund#	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
			<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL FUND 94</b>		
1 ACWA Joint Powers Insurance Authority	94	10-5048-0	1st qtr 2017 worker's comp ins-WS-M&I	23,815.61	1st qtr 2017-EMP
2 ACWA Joint Powers Insurance Authority	94	10-5048-0	1st qtr 2017 worker's comp ins-AWP	142.32	1st qtr 2017-EMP
3 Calgon Carbon Corp	94	10-5306-0	New carbon exchange for filters 1,3,5,7	463,478.61	90035198
4 Fastenal Company	94	10-5344-0	SEWD consumable supplies ordered on 03/14/17	75.30	CASTC114302
5 FGL Environmental	94	10-5307-0	As, Mn, & Fe monitoring sampled on 02/24/17	81.00	732306A
6 FGL Environmental	94	10-5308-0	Groundwater monitoring sampled on 03/20/17	110.00	733191A
7 FGL Environmental	94	10-5308-0	THMs, HAAs and TOC monitoring sampled on 03/07/17	166.00	732729A
8 G&K Services, Inc.	94	10-5342-0	04/06/17-04/13/17 weekly laundry service	292.33	1057391849
9 G&K Services, Inc.	94	10-5342-0	Working shirts for Water Supply staff	548.45	94048182
10 Golden State Irrigation & Pump	94	10-5324-0	Supplies for repairing TP irrigation system	72.27	0014601-IN
11 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5307-0	Laboratory supplies ordered on 04/11/17	103.12	9413550022
12 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5344-0	SEWD consumable supplies ordered on 03/21/17	71.97	9393514758
13 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5343-0	Extension cord for Maint. shop	43.82	9394706296
14 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5321-0	Shelf bins for Maint. shop	126.07	9394706296
15 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5344-0	SEWD consumables ordered on 03/15/17	136.56	9387833578
16 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5321-0	Air filter for Maint. use	39.57	9387833578
17 Grainger, Inc.	94	10-5321-0	Antimicrobial air filters for SEWD bldgs	107.58	9387833586
18 Hardy Diagnostics	94	10-5307-0	Lab supplies ordered on 03/27/17	177.53	1769845
19 Idexx Distribution Corp.	94	10-5307-0	Bacti supplies ordered on 03/30/17	940.21	3014331761
20 Industrial Safety Supply	94	10-5341-0	Replacement SCBA AIRHAWK II	1,110.62	1046053
21 J. Milano Company, Inc.	94	10-5327-0	Lug bolts and O-ring kit for Alis Chambers	33.77	256139
22 Lutz-JESCO America Corporation	94	10-5321-0	Parts & labor to repair pump from Feeder 14	676.00	1501189
23 Lutz-JESCO America Corporation	94	10-5321-0	Repair parts for Feeders 8, 13 & 14	1,683.84	15016579
24 Northstar Chemical	94	10-5301-0	Caustic soda delivery of 4/7/17	4,087.88	100975

**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
INVOICES FOR BOARD PACKAGE  
April 25, 2017**

Vendor name	District Fund#	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
25 PG&E 1949656419-6	94	10-5303-0	Gas charges 031517-041417 TP	813.99	1496564196-04/14/17
26 PG&E 1949656419-6	94	10-5302-0	Electricity 031517-04147 TP	2,015.88	1496564196-04/14/17
27 PG&E 2544904013-5	94	10-5302-0	Electricity @ TP HS @ 6749 E Main 11/19/16-12/19/16	184,007.86	25449040135-040417
28 San Joaquin County Sheriff-Coroner	94	10-5324-0	Feb 2017 weedeating and sandbagging at Treatment Plant	585.00	February 28 2017 Stt
29 SEWD Checking Account	94	10-5321-0	Reimbursement of misc. expenses to District Checking Account*	21.50	2961
30 Stockton Windustrial Co.	94	10-5321-0	Supplies for Modification to Feeder 08	366.98	270816 00
31 United Rentals North America Inc	94	10-5324-0	Masonry cutting blades for Admin landscaping	76.13	145281201-001
32 United Site Services	94	10-5321-0	Rental portable restroom 03/15/17-04/11/17	182.59	114-5100935
33 US Bank Corp Payment Systems	94	10-5321-0	Fogger and Ops roll up door seal (Walmart & Home Depot)	64.48	Cahoon8501-032217
34 US Bank Corp Payment Systems	94	10-5321-0	Pneumatic air switches for Ops Basement door (North Shore)	23.02	Cahoon8501-032217b
35 US Bank Corp Payment Systems	94	10-5321-0	Ballast for campus street lighting (Ballast.com)	67.52	Cahoon8501-032217c
36 US Bank Corp Payment Systems	94	10-5321-0	Flash Drive for PLC & View Progr Storage (Office Depot)	59.76	Cahoon8501-032217d
37 US Bank Corp Payment Systems	94	10-5324-0	Supplies for SEWD Admin Bldg landscaping (Lowes/Home Depot)	180.76	Mendez5476-032217
			<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL FUND 94 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 686,585.90</b>	

*MDJ*

STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
PAYROLL EXPENSES  
April 25, 2017

Vendor name	Description	Amount
	<b>ADMIN FUND 70</b>	
1 SEWD Fund 01-General Fund	Payroll Date - 03/10/17	29,875.06
	Payroll Date - 03/24/17	32,524.48
	<b>ADMIN FUND 70 PAYROLL TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 62,399.54</b>
	<b>WATER SUPPLY FUND 71</b>	
1 SEWD Fund 01-General Fund	Payroll Date - 03/10/17	23,744.01
	Payroll Date - 03/24/17	23,815.60
	<b>WATER SUPPLY FUND 71 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 47,559.61</b>
	<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL FUND 94</b>	
1 SEWD Fund 01-General Fund	Payroll Date - 03/10/17	82,252.30
	Payroll Date - 03/24/17	76,954.78
	<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL FUND 94 PAYROLL TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 159,207.08</b>
	TOTAL FOR RBM 04/25/17	<b>\$ 269,166.23</b>

*MDJ*



THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

25-Apr-17  
SEWD SCHEDULE OF EXPENSES  
PAID OUT OF SEWD CHECKING ACCOUNT WITH FCCU

Payee name	District Fund ID	Account #	Description	Amount	Invoice No.
	70		<b>ADMINISTRATION FUND 70</b>		
1 KCarido	70	10-5104-0	Travel expenses ACWA JPIA HR group mtg	78.59	2861
2 MSutton	70	10-5104-0	Travel exp. reimbursement Crane Training	50.13	2862
3 Almburnetti	70	10-5104-0	Travel exp Crane Training reimbursement	64.53	2865-2874
4 CDonis	70	10-5104-0	Travel exp. Crane Training reimbursement	48.71	2876
5 Secretary of State	70	10-5106-0	Report on Roster of Public Agencies	6.00	2879
6 KCarido	70	10-5104-0	Travel exp. DTR training reimbursement	70.00	2881
7 DWUA	70	10-5127-0	Registration Delta Water Users Association (DWUA)	315.00	2883
8 SJCOG	70	10-5103-0	Registration 2017 One Voice Trip for ABarkett	175.00	2884
9 Secretary of State	70	10-5106-0	ESJWVA Statement of Information from Sec of State	6.00	2886
			<b>ADMINISTRATION FUND 70 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 813.96</b>	

			<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL FUND 94</b>		
1 Almburnetti	94	10-5321-0	Memory Cards for Maint. dept Reimbursement	21.50	2961
			<b>MUNICIPAL &amp; INDUSTRIAL FUND 94 TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 21.50</b>	

*MDJ*

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

**STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT**  
**INVOICES AND PAYROLL FOR BOARD PACKAGE**  
**April 25, 2017**

<b>Fund Number</b>	<b>Fund Summary</b>	<b>AP Amount</b>	<b>Payroll Amount</b>
Fund 68	Municipal & Industrial GW Fund	89.04	0.00
Fund 70	Administration Fund	46,939.38	62,399.54
Fund 71	Water Supply Fund	22,261.81	47,559.61
Fund 91	Vehicle Fund	9,372.53	0.00
Fund 94	Municipal & Industrial Fund	686,585.90	159,207.08
	<b>TOTAL FUND SUMMARY</b>	<b>\$ 765,248.66</b>	<b>\$ 269,166.23</b>

*MDJ*

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

Short Names/Acronym List

ACH	Aluminum Chlorohydrate
ACWA	Association of California Water Agencies
Admin	Administration
Ads	Advertisement
AF	Acre Feet
AG	Agriculture
AR	Accounts Receivable
AWP	Alternative Work Program
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
Chgs	Charges
CM	Construction Management
COP	Certificate of Participation
CSDA	California Special District Authority
CSJWCD	Central San Joaquin Water Conservation District
CVPWA	Central Valley Project Water Association
CWS	California Water Services Company
DB	Distribution Box
DDTS	Direct Distance Telephone Service
DL	Direct Line
Educ	Education
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FCC	Federal Communications Commission
FCCU	Financial Center Credit Union
FOIA	Freedom of Information Act
FWPS	Finished Water Pump Station
GM	General Manager
HCP	Habitat Conservation Plan
HP	Hewlett Packard
HVAC	Heating, Ventilating Airconditioning
LD	Long Distance
LFC	Lower Farmington Canal
LT2	Long Term 2 -Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule
M&O	Maintenance & Operations
MCC	Master Control Center
Misc.	Miscellaneous
mtg	Meeting
NH-	New Hogan
NM	New Melones
NH3-N	Ammonia
NMCF	New Melones Conveyance Facility
OBA	Oxygen Breathing Apparatus
PACL	Poly Aluminum Chloride
PM	Preventive Maintenance
Prof	Professional
PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride
SCADA	Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition
SCBA	Self Contained Breathing Apparatus
SEWD	Stockton East Water District
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
St	Street
T5	Water Treatment Operator Certificate Grade 5
Tel	Telephone
THM	Trihalomethane
TO	Task Order
TP	Treatment Plant
UFC	Upper Farmington Canal
UPS	Uninterrupted Power Supply
VAMP	Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan
VFD	Variable Frequency Drive
WQMS	Water Quality Monitoring System
WS	Water Supply
WTP	Water Treatment Plant

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK



Asset Name	Classification Name
<b>VEHICLES</b>	
UNIT 16 2003 FORD 450 -- DIESEL	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 25 2002 DODGE CARAVAN	AUTOMOBILE
UNIT 26 1990 INTERNATIONAL DUMP TRUCK	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 31 1998 FREIGHTLINER BOOM TRUCK 15 TON	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 36 2004 CHEVY PICKUP 2500HD SILVERADO - AC	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 37-2004 JEEP GRAND CHEROKEE LAREDO	AUTOMOBILE
UNIT 39 2007 CHEVY PICKUP 4X41500 EXTCAB	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 45 2008 FORD F650 FLATBED TRUCK (Diesel)	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 47 2008 CHEVY PICKUP SILVERADO 2500 4X4	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 48 2008 Chevy Kodiak C4500 (Diesel)	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 49 2009 Edge AWD - Ltd	AUTOMOBILE
UNIT 53 2011 KENWORTH T300 DUMP TRUCK (Diesel)	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 54 2010 FORD F150 PICKUP	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 55 2010 FORD F150 PICKUP	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 56 2010 FORD F450 TRUCK	HEAVY TRUCK
UNIT 57 2011 FORD F150 PICKUP LONG BED	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 64 2015 FORD F250 S-DUTY 4WD	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 65 2015 FORD F250 S-DUTY 4WD	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 66 2015 FORD F250 S-DUTY 4WD - AI	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 67 2015 FORD F250 S-DUTY 4WD - PC	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 69 2015 Ford F150 4x4 SUPERCREW PICKUP	PICKUP TRUCK
UNIT 70 2016 CAT FORKLIFT	FORKLIFT
<b>HEAVY EQUIPMENT</b>	
DIVE BOAT	UTILITY VEHICLE
BOAT TRAILER	TRAILER
ALLIS-CHALMERS DISC	HEAVY EQUIPMENT ACCESSORY
Genie GS 1930 Scissor Lift	HEAVY EQUIPMENT
PAK FLAIL MOWER (ORANGE)	HEAVY EQUIPMENT ACCESSORY
ALAMO ARTICULATE MOWER ATTACHMENT	HEAVY EQUIPMENT ACCESSORY
LANDPRIDE RCR2596 ROTARY MOWER	HEAVY EQUIPMENT ACCESSORY
MOWER-WALKER	TRACTOR
UNIT 29 CATERPILLAR BACKHOE	HEAVY EQUIPMENT
UNIT 30 BIG TEX EQUIPMENT TRAILER /25,900GVWR	TRAILER
UNIT 34 2000 CARTAWAY TANK TRAILER /6000GVW	TRAILER
UNIT 38 JOHN DEERE 6420 TRACTOR	TRACTOR
UNIT 41 CASE TRACTOR 570MXT Turbo	TRACTOR
UNIT 43 2007 WELLS CARGO TRAILER model TW122 6x12	TRAILER
UNIT 44 1996 GENIE LIFT TZ-34/20 Towable Knuckleboom (Used)	TRAILER
UNIT 50 6 DIESEL PUMP	TRAILER
UNIT 51 12 DIESEL PUMP	TRAILER
UNIT 52 KABOTA TRACTOR	TRACTOR
UNIT 58 2014 Polaris Ranger EV - JV	UTILITY VEHICLE
UNIT 59 2014 Polaris Ranger EV - SC	UTILITY VEHICLE
UNIT 60 2014 Polaris Ranger EV	UTILITY VEHICLE
UNIT 61 2014 Polaris Ranger EV	UTILITY VEHICLE
UNIT 62 2014 Polaris Ranger EV	UTILITY VEHICLE
UNIT 63 2014 Polaris Ranger EV	UTILITY VEHICLE
UNIT 68 2015 WELDING TRAILER	TRAILER

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

Recording Requested By:  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
When recorded mail to:  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
P O Box 5157  
Stockton, California 95205

---

**NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETION  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN:**

1. That the name and address of Stockton East Water District for whom the work was done, as owner thereof, is:  
  
Stockton East Water District  
6767 East Main Street  
Stockton CA 95215
2. That on April 25, 2017 the hereinafter-described project was accepted as completed pursuant to motion of the Board of Stockton East Water District, the awarding authority.
3. That the project, the subject of this notice, is generally described and identified as follows: Annual Instrumentation Loop Calibration.
4. Project Location: (APN) 101-170-35 – 6767 East Main Street Stockton, CA 95215.
5. That the name of the contractor for such project was: Cooper Controls, Inc.

Dated: April 25, 2017

Stockton East Water District

By: **DRAFT**  
General Manager

**VERIFICATION**

I, undersigned, say: I am the General Manager, the declarant of the foregoing Notice of Acceptance of Completion; I have read said Notice of Acceptance of Completion and know the contents thereof; the same is true of my own knowledge. I declare that under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 25, 2017 at Stockton, California.

By: **DRAFT**  
General Manager

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

Recording Requested By:  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
When recorded mail to:  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
P O Box 5157  
Stockton, California 95205

---

**NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF COMPLETION  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN:**

1. That the name and address of Stockton East Water District for whom the work was done, as owner thereof, is:  
  
Stockton East Water District  
6767 East Main Street  
Stockton CA 95215
2. That on April 25, 2017 the hereinafter-described project was accepted as completed pursuant to motion of the Board of Stockton East Water District, the awarding authority.
3. That the project, the subject of this notice, is generally described and identified as follows: Triennial High Voltage Testing for Electrical Distribution Equipment.
4. Project Location: (APN) 101-170-35 – 6767 East Main Street Stockton, CA 95215.
5. That the name of the contractor for such project was: Koffler Electrical Mechanical Apparatus Repair, Inc.

Dated: April 25, 2017

Stockton East Water District

By: **DRAFT**  
General Manager

**VERIFICATION**

I, undersigned, say: I am the General Manager, the declarant of the foregoing Notice of Acceptance of Completion; I have read said Notice of Acceptance of Completion and know the contents thereof; the same is true of my own knowledge. I declare that under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on April 25, 2017 at Stockton, California.

By: **DRAFT**  
General Manager

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

**Christina Soto**

---

**Subject:** ACWA Seeking Resolutions of Support

**From:** Ana Javaid [<mailto:AnaJ@acwa.com>]

**Sent:** Monday, April 10, 2017 4:00 PM

**To:** RobertRoscoe <[rroscoe@sswd.org](mailto:rroscoe@sswd.org)>; GlenGrant <[GGrant@Sidwater.Org](mailto:GGrant@Sidwater.Org)>; ThomasMcGurk <[tommcgurk@sbcglobal.net](mailto:tommcgurk@sbcglobal.net)>; John Mensinger <[jmensinger@hotmail.com](mailto:jmensinger@hotmail.com)>; PamTobin <[petpyrs@surewest.net](mailto:petpyrs@surewest.net)>; TimOHalloran <[tohalloran@ycfcwcd.org](mailto:tohalloran@ycfcwcd.org)>; MikeHardesty <[rd2068@cal.net](mailto:rd2068@cal.net)>

**Cc:** 'HHernandez@sswd.org' <[HHernandez@sswd.org](mailto:HHernandez@sswd.org)>; Kristin Carido <[KCarido@sewd.net](mailto:KCarido@sewd.net)>

**Subject:** FW: ACWA Seeking Resolutions of Support

ACWA Region 4:

I am reaching out to you as a follow up to Tim Quinn's email below in regards to the ACWA's Policy Statement on the Bay Delta Flow Requirements. We are calling all local agencies to support our Policy Statement, urging the State Water Resources Control Board to set aside its problematic "unimpaired flow" approach to setting new water quality objectives in the tributaries and watersheds of the Bay-Delta. The singular focus on unimpaired flow is incompatible in managing water comprehensively and protecting both water supply reliability and ecosystem health.

We are asking agencies to **1) Adopt Resolutions** in support of ACWA's Policy Statement and **2) Email a copy of the Resolution to ACWA**. Copies of your agency's approved resolution or other letters of support should be directed to me ACWA Region & Member Services Specialist at [anaj@acwa.com](mailto:anaj@acwa.com).

The Policy Statement and a sample resolution are linked below. Please feel free to let me if you have any questions.

Thank you,

---

**From:** Timothy Quinn

**Sent:** Tuesday, April 04, 2017 11:10 AM

**Cc:** Rebecca Franklin; Cindy Tuck; Tim Quinn

**Subject:** ACWA Seeking Resolutions of Support

ACWA Members:

ACWA's Board of Directors has adopted a strong policy statement urging the State Water Resources Control Board to set aside its problematic "unimpaired flow" approach to setting new water quality objectives in the Bay-Delta watershed.

The statement, adopted in a special meeting by conference call on March 10, calls on the State Water Board to heed Gov. Jerry Brown's call for negotiated agreements, which have proven successful in achieving positive ecological outcomes while maintaining water supply reliability. ACWA believes the state's policy on flows should embrace a collaborative, comprehensive approach that protects and promotes both water supply reliability and ecosystem health.

The State Water Board staff proposal to base new water quality objectives on a "percentage of unimpaired flow" could lead to widespread fallowing of agricultural land and negatively affect water reliability for much of the state's population. The singular focus on unimpaired flow is incompatible with the state's policy of coequal goals and other broader policy commitments in the Brown Administration's California Water Action Plan.

You can read ACWA's Policy Statement on Bay-Delta Flows [here](#).



**To demonstrate the broadest support possible for ACWA's policy statement on Bay-Delta Flows Requirements, ACWA is requesting its member agencies take the following steps:**

### **1. Adopt a Resolution**

Adopt a resolution in support of ACWA's policy statement on Bay-Delta flow requirements. A sample resolution is available [here](#). Actions other than resolutions that indicate support by your agency's board of directors are also welcome.

### **2. Email a Copy of Resolution to ACWA**

Email a copy of your agency's approved resolution or other action to ACWA Region & Member Services Specialist Ana Javaid at [anaj@acwa.com](mailto:anaj@acwa.com).

Questions about the policy statement may be directed to ACWA Senior Regulatory Advocate Rebecca Franklin at [rebeccaf@acwa.com](mailto:rebeccaf@acwa.com).

Questions about resolutions of support may be directed to ACWA Senior Regional Affairs Representative Brandon Ida at [brandoni@acwa.com](mailto:brandoni@acwa.com).

For more information please visit [acwa.com](http://acwa.com).

### **Tim Quinn**

Executive Director

Association of California Water Agencies

916.441.4545 | [TimQ@acwa.com](mailto:TimQ@acwa.com) | [www.acwa.com](http://www.acwa.com)





# ACWA POLICY STATEMENT ON BAY-DELTA FLOW REQUIREMENTS

## COLLABORATIVE APPROACH IS KEY TO CALIFORNIA'S FUTURE

California is facing a defining moment in water policy. A staff proposal under consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board presents a decision point about the future we want for California and its communities, farms, businesses and ecosystems. The State Water Board's staff proposal to base new water quality objectives on a "percentage of unimpaired flow" would have impacts that ripple far beyond water for fish.

The proposal could lead to widespread fallowing of agricultural land, undercut the state's groundwater sustainability goals, cripple implementation of the Brown Administration's California Water Action Plan, negatively affect water reliability for much of the state's population and impact access to surface water for some disadvantaged communities that do not have safe drinking water. These effects are not in the public's interest.

Local water managers overwhelmingly believe the proposal's singular focus on "unimpaired flow" is the wrong choice for the state's future. California's urban and agricultural water managers are united in their vision for a future that includes a healthy economy as well as healthy ecosystems and fish populations. That vision is best achieved through comprehensive, collaborative approaches that include "functional" flows as well as non-flow solutions that contribute real benefits to ecosystem recovery.

On behalf of its more than 430 member public agencies serving urban and agricultural customers throughout the state, the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA) adopts the following policy statement regarding the State Water Board's proposed approach to updating the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

## LOCAL SUCCESS STORIES

Collaborative efforts have been successful on many rivers in the Bay-Delta watershed.

**Lower Yuba River:** A voluntary, collaborative settlement among Yuba County Water Agency, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, National Marine Fisheries Service, PG&E and conservation groups resolved 20 years of controversy and resulted in a continuing program to improve 24 miles of salmon and steelhead habitat while protecting water rights and the needs of local communities. State Water Board members have specifically recognized the value of the agreement, which was formally implemented in 2008.

**Lower American River:** A broad representation of water suppliers, environmental groups, local governments and others negotiated an historic agreement that led to a flow management standard that was successfully incorporated into a 2009 biological opinion issued by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

**Feather River:** Six years of negotiations among water users, fisheries agencies and environmental groups yielded a comprehensive agreement that includes a habitat improvement program with specific flow and temperature requirements to accommodate spawning salmon and steelhead. The State Water Board adopted the agreement, with some modification, in 2010 as a water quality certification under the federal Clean Water Act.

## CHOOSING OUR VISION FOR CALIFORNIA'S WATER FUTURE

Since 2009, state law has required water resources to be managed in a way that achieves the coequal goals of improving water supply reliability for California and protecting, restoring and enhancing the Delta ecosystem. ACWA and its public water agency members believe that policy requires a commitment from state agencies and stakeholders to advance both water supply and environmental goals together. ACWA and its members further believe that effective implementation of the coequal goals requires transparent, collaborative processes and comprehensive solutions.

In 2014, the Brown Administration released its California Water Action Plan outlining priority actions addressing water-use efficiency, groundwater sustainability, ecological restoration, Delta conveyance solutions, water storage, safe drinking water and more. Embedded in the plan is the Brown Administration's commitment that planned actions "will move California toward more sustainable water management *by providing a more reliable water supply for our farms and communities*, restoring important wildlife habitat and species, and helping the state's water systems and environment become more resilient."

ACWA believes the policy of coequal goals and the commitment embedded in the California Water Action Plan have the potential to put California on a path that includes a vibrant agricultural and urban economy and a healthy ecosystem.

ACWA and its members believe the unimpaired flow approach proposed by State Water Board staff undercuts and threatens that potential and cannot lead us to the future we want for California. Simply put, any strategy that would result in vast amounts of agricultural land going out of production and ultimately reduce water supply reliability for the majority of Californians is irreconcilable with a policy of coequal goals and blatantly inconsistent with the water policy objectives of the Brown Administration.

ACWA strongly supports the collaborative approach called for by Governor Jerry Brown to move these important decisions out of adversarial processes and into negotiated, comprehensive agreements. The following principles can assure success in that endeavor.



## A BETTER PATH TO THE FUTURE

The State Water Board is responsible for updating the Bay-Delta Plan in a manner that establishes water quality objectives that ensure the reasonable protection of all beneficial uses of water (including domestic, municipal, agricultural and industrial supply; power generation; recreation; aesthetic enjoyment; navigation; and preservation and enhancement of fish, wildlife, and other aquatic resources) while considering past, present and probable future beneficial uses, environmental characteristics, water quality conditions and economic considerations, among other things. (See California Water Code Section 13241.) It also has a responsibility to update the plan in a way that is consistent with the coequal goals and respects and implements the commitments made in the California Water Action Plan.

ACWA and its members urge the State Water Board to set aside the unimpaired flow approach and heed Governor Brown's call for negotiated agreements. ACWA believes that a successful flows policy must be consistent with the following principles:

- **Collaboration:** The governor has called for work on a comprehensive agreement on environmental flows in both the San Joaquin and Sacramento River basins. He has asked that State Water Board members and staff prioritize analysis and implementation of voluntary agreements. Further, the Brown Administration committed in the California Water Action Plan that the State Water Board and the California Natural Resources Agency will work with stakeholders to encourage negotiated implementation of protective Delta standards. ACWA strongly supports the collaborative approach called for by the governor because it is the least contentious, most effective way to achieve the coequal goals. Negotiated agreements have been demonstrably successful at achieving outcomes and widespread support for appropriate environmental flows; forced

regulations have not yielded the same track record. The State Water Board should wholly embrace this approach and allow enough time for it to work.

- **Comprehensive Solutions:** A successful collaborative approach will require comprehensive solutions for both water supply and ecosystem management. Water users will need to continue and build on their commitment to integrated resources management in order to maintain reliability without undue impacts on the ecosystem. Similarly, ecosystem managers will need to focus on the entire life cycle of affected species and multiple variables, such as predation, food, and habitat availability to develop integrated management portfolios that accomplish ecosystem goals without undue impacts on water supply. Utilizing the single variable proposed in the "percentage of unimpaired flow" approach will not achieve the desired ecological outcomes and is, by far, the most destructive policy approach from the perspective of protecting and improving water supply. ACWA firmly believes the ecological outcomes can be achieved with even better results through a comprehensive approach that considers multiple solutions and benefits.
- **Science:** The State Water Board needs to incorporate the best available science to inform its work and assist with the development of voluntary settlement agreements. The unimpaired flow approach, in which flow objectives are not tied to any specific ecological outcome, fails to incorporate the best available science. As noted above, the updated plan needs to focus on the entire life cycle of affected species and multiple variables, such as predation, food, and habitat availability, and incorporate relevant current scientific information. Science alone cannot identify the best policy choice, but it can inform us about the policy tradeoffs we confront and help structure integrated solutions that provide ecosystem benefits with far less impact on water supply, the California economy and the public interest.

## FUNCTIONAL FLOWS: A BETTER APPROACH

**Sacramento Valley:** Sacramento Valley water users and conservation partners are working together to advance a new generation of innovative projects to promote salmon recovery.

Over the past two and a half years, 12 projects have been completed through the Sacramento Valley Salmon Recovery Program to address fish passage, improve the timing of flows and increase habitat for salmon and other species. Priority projects have included removal of structural barriers to fish passage, modifying riffles, eliminating predator habitat, restoring floodplains and creating side channel spawning and rearing areas.

In addition, program partners are exploring creative ways to reconnect water with the land in floodplains and agricultural areas to enhance habitat and food production and create rearing habitat in rice fields.

While each of these collaborative projects provides independent value, implementation of the entire comprehensive suite is generating unique benefits that can significantly improve ecological outcomes for salmon in the Sacramento Valley.

**Merced River:** Merced Irrigation District has spent millions of dollars and decades undertaking intense and in-depth scientific research on the Merced River. This research has included analysis of flows, temperatures, biological resources and habitat. MID is poised to put this research into action through its Merced S.A.F.E. Plan (Salmon, Agriculture, Flows, and Environment) to provide certainty for both the environment and local water supply in Eastern Merced County.

The plan would provide increased flows using science to dictate the amounts and timing, restore critical sections of habitat for spawning and rearing juvenile salmon, protect local drinking water quality, upgrade an existing salmon hatchery with state-of-the-art facilities and reduce predation.

Based on in-depth science and technologically advanced computer modeling, MID seeks to take immediate action and dramatically benefit salmon on the Merced River.

- **Functional Flows:** Science shows that functional flows have very promising benefits for fish as well agricultural and urban water users. Timed and tailored for specific purposes, functional flows can benefit species in ways that unimpaired flow requirements cannot. Examples abound of collaborative, innovative projects currently underway by local water agencies and stakeholders that include functional flows and non-flow solutions that reconnect land and water to restore habitat and address the full life cycle of species needs. These efforts contribute real benefits to ecosystem recovery while maintaining water supply reliability.
- **Economic Considerations:** The State Water Board has a statutory obligation to consider economic impacts when establishing water quality objectives that reasonably protect all beneficial uses of water. Having a robust economic analysis is critical. The board also has a policy obligation under the coequal goals to ensure its actions related to a revised Bay-Delta Plan increase water supply reliability and thereby allow for a healthy, growing agricultural and urban economy in California.
- **Consistency with State Policy:** ACWA urges the State Water Board to heed the governor's direction and recognize that achieving the coequal goals will lead to a more reliable water supply and healthy ecosystem. Pursuing the coequal goals should be a guiding principle for the board's decisions related to adopting a revised Bay-Delta Plan. The State Water Board also should ensure that its decisions on the Bay-Delta Plan enable, rather than obstruct, the implementation of the California Water Action Plan.
- **Leadership:** The best policy choice will come through the give and take of the negotiating process and the enlightened leadership of the State Water Board members. Ultimately, the board must establish water quality objectives that ensure the reasonable protection of all beneficial uses of water as it implements negotiated solutions. The State Water Board should actively engage in this work and lead in a manner that is grounded in an awareness of how its actions can affect the implementation of the California Water Action Plan and the achievement of the coequal goals.

ACWA and its members have taken a strong policy position in support of comprehensive solutions such as those outlined in the California Water Action Plan. We stand ready to work with the Brown Administration to pursue the collaborative and comprehensive approaches needed to ensure a future for California that includes a vibrant agricultural and urban economy and a healthy ecosystem.

RESOLUTION NO. 17-18-03

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF  
STOCKTON EAST WATER DISTRICT  
IN SUPPORT OF THE ASSOCIATION OF CALIFORNIA WATER AGENCIES' (ACWA) POLICY  
STATEMENT ON BAY-DELTA FLOW REQUIREMENTS

WHEREAS, California is facing a defining moment in water policy that will be substantially impacted by the State Water Resources Control Board's current approach to water quality objectives under the Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta; and

WHEREAS, State Water Board has the responsibility for updating the Bay-Delta Plan in a manner that establishes water quality objectives that ensure the reasonable protection of all beneficial uses of water in a way that is consistent with the coequal goals of improving water supply reliability for all citizens and protecting, restoring and enhancing the Delta ecosystem and with respect to the commitments made in the California Water Action Plan; and

WHEREAS, the State Water Board staff's current proposal, which focuses singularly on an "unimpaired flow" approach, is irreconcilable with a policy of coequal goals of improving both water supply reliability and ecosystem health; and is inconsistent with the broader water policy objectives of the Brown Administration; and

WHEREAS, the ACWA Board of Directors has unanimously adopted a strong Policy Statement that calls on the State Water Board to set aside its "unimpaired flow" approach;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Stockton East Water District hereby supports ACWA's Policy Statement on Bay-Delta Flow Requirements and encourages the State Water Resources Control Board to set aside its "unimpaired flow" approach as articulated in the State Water Board's Substitute Environmental Document.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting by the Board of Directors of the Stockton East Water District on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of April 2017 by the following vote of the members thereof:

Ayes:  
Noes:  
Absent:  
Abstain:

**DRAFT**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas McGurk, President

ATTEST:

**DRAFT**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Scot A. Moody  
Secretary of the Board



THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

Karna E. Harrigfeld  
kharrigfeld@herumcrabtree.com

April 14, 2017

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board  
Mr. James Brownell  
11020 Sun Center Drive, #200  
Rancho Cordova, California 95670-6114  
[james.brownell@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:james.brownell@waterboards.ca.gov)

Re: Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins to Establish Salinity Water Quality Objectives for the Lower San Joaquin – Reach 83

Dear Mr. Brownell:

On behalf of Stockton East Water District (Stockton East), we appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed Amendment to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins to Establish Salinity Water Quality Objectives for the Lower San Joaquin – Reach 83 (Basin Plan Amendment). Over the past twenty years, Stockton East has participated in countless Regional and State Water Board meetings, workshops and processes related to salinity in the San Joaquin River. Everyone working on San Joaquin River salinity issues recognize that this is a complex issue with very divergent opinions on how to solve the problem.

Background

Stockton East's interest in San Joaquin River salinity stems from its 1983 contract with the United States Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) for 75,000 acre-feet of water from the Stanislaus River, stored in New Melones Reservoir. In the early 1990s through 2009, Stockton East did not receive consistent deliveries under this contract due to the Reclamation's releases of New Melones water for environmental purposes, including releases to satisfy the salinity objective at Vernalis (Vernalis WQO). Even in light of the State Water Board's finding that the Stanislaus River basin contributes only a de minimus amount to the salinity problem in the San Joaquin River, Reclamation has released **in excess of 1,000,000 acre feet for high quality water for water quality purposes from New Melones** to dilute the highly saline water in the San Joaquin River over the past 20 years.

The salinity problem is not easy to solve. Water deliveries to the Westside of the San Joaquin Valley, both for agriculture and to the wildlife refuges, that have created the salinity problem in the San Joaquin River have continued, while CVP water deliveries to



the Eastside of the valley, namely Stockton East, have been reduced due to the need to dilute the salty discharge that drains from these Westside lands. While this disproportionate impact to valley irrigators is primarily due to Reclamation's own decisions, these decisions have been, and continue to be driven by the Regional Board and State Water Board's actions and inaction in developing and implementing meaningful salinity control measures and/or objectives upstream of Vernalis.

In Water Rights D-1641, the State Water Board made some significant findings about the cause of the salinity problem in the San Joaquin River. In D-1641 the State Water Board concluded that the salinity problem in the San Joaquin River is caused by operation of the CVP, and imposed the responsibility for maintaining the Vernalis salinity objective on the CVP, specifically concluding that CVP projects **other than New Melones** are responsible for the salinity problem:

The actions of the CVP are the principal cause of the salinity concentrations exceeding the objectives at Vernalis. . . .The source of. . . the saline discharge to the San Joaquin River is from lands on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley which are irrigated with water provided from the Delta by the CVP, primarily through the Delta-Mendota Canal and the San Luis Unit. The capacity of the lower San Joaquin River to assimilate the agricultural drainage has been significantly reduced through the diversion of high quality flows from the upper San Joaquin River by the CVP at Friant. The USBR, through its activities associated with operating the CVP in the San Joaquin River basin, is responsible for significant deterioration of water quality in the southern Delta.

As a result, the State Water Board imposed a permit conditions on all of the CVP permits, including the permits for the San Luis Unit and the Friant Project that requires Reclamation to, at all times, meet the Vernalis water quality objectives for agricultural beneficial uses at Vernalis. Reclamation may meet these objectives through flows or other measures.

Furthermore, in D-1641 the State Water Board expressly found that the Vernalis salinity objectives could be attained through regulation of controllable factors (D-1641 at page 81) – concluding that the objectives could be achieved by using measures to control the discharge of saline water to the river upstream of Vernalis (D-1641 at page 83), and further concluded that: "Although releases of dilution water could help meet the southern Delta objectives, regional management of drainage water is the preferred method of meeting the objectives" (D-1641 at page 84).

#### Basin Plan Amendment

Since its formation in 2010, Stockton East has participated in the Lower San Joaquin River (LSJR) Committee. I, along with David Cory of the San Joaquin Valley Drainage Authority, acted as Co-Chairs for the LSJR Committee since the fall of 2012. Hundreds of hours of time by the diverse group of Stakeholders that make up the LSJR Committee

have been invested into development of the proposed salinity water quality objectives and the plan of implementation.


As was noted in both the August 2016 workshop and the April 2017 public hearing, one of the principle objectives besides developing the salinity water quality objective that would be protective of beneficial uses on the Lower San Joaquin River, was the need to ensure that implementation of the selected salinity water quality objective would reduce New Melones water quality releases. Regional Board staff acknowledges that the Vernalis WQO has been met since 1995 by Reclamation's releases of high quality water from New Melones Reservoir on the Stanislaus River to dilute the salinity laden water coming down the San Joaquin River. The LSJR Committee believes based on the modeling done as part of this process that the proposed salinity water quality objective and the implementation plan will achieve this desired outcome.

With respect to the Basin Plan Amendment, the Regional Board provided the LSJR Committee the opportunity to review and comment on the Staff Report and proposed Basin Plan Amendment. And for the most part, the Regional Board staff included the Committee's input. However, the Committee requested language be included in the NPDES considerations section of the Basin Plan Amendments that was not incorporated into the final version or the late revision.

In particular, the LSJR Committee felt very strongly that during the permitting of any NPDES discharge, the "reasonable potential analysis to cause or contribute to an EC violation" must include an analysis to ensure that this discharge will not have a negative impact or cause exceedance of Vernalis WQO. As I understand it, the current POTWs effluent limits are less than 1,550 EC, so the Regional Board must evaluate and confirm that the permitted discharges over existing levels will not have a downstream effect all the way to Vernalis. This issue was never modeled by the LSJR Committee because of inadequate funding, but it was agreed by the Committee must be done prior to any revisions to NPDES permits. Currently, NPDES permits must meet the Vernalis WQO of 700 or 1,000 EC at end of pipe. Language needs to be developed before the June 2017 adoption hearing to address this issue and be incorporated into the final Basin Plan Amendment language. As noted at the April 2017 hearing, the LSJR Committee is willing to assist Staff in any way.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment.

Very truly yours,



KARNA E. HARRIGFELD  
Attorney-at-Law

cc: Scot A. Moody

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

Date: 04/25/17



April 14, 2017

**Officers**

Harvey A. Bailey, *President*  
 Vacant, *1<sup>st</sup> Vice President*  
 Vacant, *2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President*  
 Sandy Willard Denn, *3<sup>rd</sup> Vice President*  
 Vacant, *Treasurer*  
 Lawrence Bauman, *Executive Director*

**Board of Directors****Northern Zone**

Mike Alves  
*Kanawha & Glide Water District*  
 Lance Boyd  
*Princeton-Codora-Glenn ID*  
 David Coxey  
*Bella Vista Water District*  
 Sandy Denn  
*Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority*

**Central Zone**

Alexander R. Coate  
*East Bay Municipal Utility District*  
 Jerry Brown  
*Contra Costa Water District*  
 Ted Costa  
*San Juan Water District*  
 Cindy Kao  
*Santa Clara Valley Water District*

**Western Zone**

Dennis Falaschi  
*Panoche Water District*  
 Anthea Hanson  
*Del Puerto Water District*  
 Martin McIntyre  
*San Luis Water District*  
 Frances Mizuno  
*San Luis & Delta-Mendota WA*

**Southern Zone**

Harvey A. Bailey  
*Orange Cove Irrigation District*  
 David Nixon  
*Arvin-Edison Water Storage District*  
 Vacant  
*Friant Water Authority*  
 Eric Limas  
*Lower Tule River Irrigation District*

1521 "I" Street  
 Sacramento, CA 95814  
 Tele: 916-448-1638  
 Fax: 916-446-1063  
 Email:

Larry: [lbauman@cvpwater.org](mailto:lbauman@cvpwater.org)

Scot A. Moody  
 Stockton East Water District  
 P.O. Box 5157  
 Stockton, CA 95205

Subject: Fourteenth Billing for Senate Bill (SB) 1049 Litigation Costs

Dear CVP Contractor:

The purpose of this letter is to request remittance of your allocated share of the subject litigation costs for the period April 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017. The costs identified herein include cost for the Remand Appeal as well as the 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 litigation.

On January 17, 2007, the Third District Court of Appeal (3<sup>rd</sup> DCA) in Sacramento ruled that the regulations under which the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has levied water right fees each year since 2003-04 were unconstitutional and invalid.

The Court ruled, among other things, that only those Petitioners or fee payers who filed timely Petitions for Reconsideration with the SWRCB and/or are subject to the January 20, 2004, stipulation between the NCWA, CVPWA, SWRCB and Board of Equalization (BOE) were entitled to refunds.

The SWRCB and BOE sought rehearing with the 3<sup>rd</sup> DCA and the request was denied. All parties subsequently filed a petition to the California Supreme Court for review of the 3<sup>rd</sup> DCA ruling. The Supreme Court, in early 2007, granted review. Oral arguments were presented to the Supreme Court in December 2010. On January 31, 2011, the court upheld, as constitutional, the statutes adopted by the legislature in 2003 imposing new water right fees, but remanded the case back to the superior court to determine whether the SWRCB regulations reasonably and fairly apportion their activity costs and fees to the affected payors.

The case went to trial in December 2012. The Post-trial briefings started at the end of May 2013, the Court issued its Proposed Statement of Decision in late summer and had a hearing on the State's objections to that decision. The Court's Final Statement of Decision, invalidating the SWRCB's annual water right fee regulations adopted in 2003-2004, was issued on November 12, 2013.

In mid-February 2014, the State filed its Notice of Appeal in the SWRCB Fee Litigation. The hearing transcripts from the trial court didn't get over to the Court of Appeal until the end of June 2014. Since then the Court has granted the State two extensions (a 60-day extension and another 45-day extension) and they ended up filing their opening brief in early December, 2014. SSD filed their opening brief in mid-January 2015. The appeal

had been fully briefed since June 9, 2015. On January 20, 2017, Appellants filed a supplement letter brief in response to the Court's request for the parties to answer specific questions regarding Water Code section 1525. On February 3, 2017, SSD filed a motion to strike portions of the Appellants supplemental letter brief on the grounds that it cites to and relies on materials that were not before the Trial Court. SSD is waiting on a decision on the Motion to Strike and for oral arguments to begin.

The following enclosed schedules provide the calculation of each contractor's share of the legal costs and your outstanding balances:

- Schedule 1 includes the acre-feet used in determining each contractor's share of the costs for the different segments of the litigation.
- Schedule 2 shows the resulting allocated litigation costs by litigation segment and the overall allocated costs for the period April 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017.
- Schedule 3 summarizes the total amounts due covering the period April 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017.

In accordance with these calculations, your share has been determined to be \$615.78. Please remit this amount to the Central Valley Project Water Association at 1521 I Street, Sacramento, CA, 95814. If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 448-1638 or [lbauman@cvpwater.org](mailto:lbauman@cvpwater.org).

Sincerely,



Lawrence J. Bauman  
Executive Director, Central Valley Project Water Association

Enclosures

SWRCB Fees Litigation -- AF Allocations by Contractor  
Individual Allocations among CYPWA Cost Pool -- Segregated by Annual Litigations  
April 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017

Contractor	Original Litigation	05/08 Litigation	06/07 Litigation	07/08 Litigation	08/09 Litigation	09/10 Litigation	10/11 Litigation	10/11 Litigation	11/12 Litigation	12/13 Litigation	14/15 Litigation	15/16 Litigation	16/17 Litigation	Remand Appeal
Arvin-Edison WSD	351,675	351,675	351,675	1/	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675	351,675
Banta-Carlson ID	25,000	25,000	20,000	0	20,000	20,000	0	0	20,000	20,000	20,000	25,000	25,000	25,000
Broadview WSD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Byron-Bathory ID	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600	20,600
Central San Joaquin WCD	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000	80,000
Chowchilla WSD 2/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
City of Coalinga	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
City of Fresno	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
City of Huron	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000	3,000
City of Orange Cove	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400	1,400
City of Roseville	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000	32,000
City of West Sacramento	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680	9,680
Cortina Costa WSD	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000	195,000
Del Norte WSD	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210	140,210
Del Norte-Carmichael ID	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300	183,300
Eagle Field WSD	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550	4,550
East Bay MUD	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000	133,000
El Dorado ID	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550	7,550
Exeter ID	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500	30,500
Fresno ID	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
Fresno-South WSD	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346	3,346
Hills Valley WSD	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200	1,200
Imperial WSD	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600	15,600
Jamies ID	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300	35,300
Kam-Tulare WSD 2/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
Laguna WSD	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800	800
Lindero ID	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000	55,000
Lower Tule River ID 2/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
Madre ID 2/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
Mercy Springs WSD	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842	2,842
Orange Cove ID	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200	39,200
Oro Loma WSD	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600	4,600
Pacheco WSD	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080	10,080
Panoche WSD	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000	94,000
Patterson ID	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500	16,500
Pikeley ID 2/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
Pleaser County WA	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000
Porterville ID	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000	46,000
Rag Gulch WSD	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300	13,300
Reclamation District 1608	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228	228
Sacramento County WA	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000	22,000
Sacramento MUD	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000
San Benito CWD	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800	43,800
San Juan WSD	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200	24,200
San Luis WSD	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080	125,080
Santa Clara Valley WSD	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065	154,065
Saucelito ID	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000	54,000
Shafter-Wasco ID	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600	89,600
Stockton East WSD	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000	75,000
Stone Corral ID 2/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
Tee Pot Dome WSD	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Terra Bella ID	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000	29,000
The West Side ID 4/	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500	7,500
Tranquility ID	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800	13,800
Tulare ID 3/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/
West Stanislaus ID	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
Westlands WSD	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383	1,191,383
Total	3,611,389	3,489,189	3,481,689	2,880,788	3,135,263	3,215,263	3,178,713	3,178,713	3,178,713	3,178,713	3,147,463	3,152,883	3,152,883	2,905,963

1/ These contractors declined to participate.

2/ These contractors dropped out for all years litigation in 2011.

3/ This contractor dropped out for all years litigation in 2012.

4/ Reduced 2,500 AF due to assignment to City of Tracy.



SWRCB Fees Litigation -- Allocation of Costs by Contractor  
Individual Cost Shares among CVPWA Cost Pool -- Segregated by Annual Litigations  
April 1, 2015 through February 28, 2017

Contractor	Original Litigation	05/06 Litigation	06/07 Litigation	07/08 Litigation	08/09 Litigation	09/10 Litigation	10/11 Litigation (thru May 2011)	10/11 Litigation (post May 2011)	11/12 Litigation	12/13 Litigation	14/15 Litigation	15/16 Litigation	16/17 Litigation	Remand Appeal	Total
Arvin-Edison WSD	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	46.79	271.83	\$ -	\$ 2,568.78
Banta-Carbena ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.33	19.32	-	\$ 182.61
Broadview WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	205.26
Byron Bellamy ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.74	15.92	-	168.13
Central San Joaquin WCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.64	61.84	-	72.48
Chowchilla WSD 1/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Coalinga 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Fresno	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Huron	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City of Orange Cove 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.91	21.91
City of Roseville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.26	24.73	-	282.73
City of West Sacramento 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	233.74	233.74
Del Puerto WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.95	150.73	-	1,424.36
Delano-Earlhart ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	18.66	108.38	-	1,601.04
Eagle Field WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24.35	141.45	-	1,024.15
East Bay MUD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.61	3.52	-	165.80
El Dorado ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17.70	102.80	-	33.24
Exeter ID 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	5.84	-	971.49
Fresno ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,091.99
Fresno Slough WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	55.15
Hills Valley ID 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
International WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ivanhoe ID 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
James ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kern-Tulare WSD 1/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.70	27.29	-	257.85
Laguna WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	289.84
Lindmore ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lower Tule River ID 1/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Madera ID 1/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mercy Springs WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Orange Cove ID 2/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.38	2.20	-	23.34
Oro Loma WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pacheco WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.44	8.35	-	73.63
Panoche WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12.51	72.66	-	686.62
Patterson ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.20	12.75	-	771.79
Pikeview ID 1/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	120.52
Pleasant County WA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.66	27.05	-	135.47
Porterville ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	255.65
Rag Gulch WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.03	0.18	-	287.36
Reclamation District 1606	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento County WA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento MUD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.99	23.19	-	1.67
San Benito CWD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.83	33.86	-	219.13
San Juan WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.22	16.71	-	246.31
San Luis WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16.84	96.88	-	319.93
Santa Clara Valley WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20.50	119.09	-	369.62
Saucelito ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	176.77
Shafter-Wasco ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.92	69.26	-	198.70
Stockton East WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.98	57.97	-	913.64
Stone Corral ID 1/	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,026.96
Tee Pot Dome WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,125.36
Terra Bella ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The West Side ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.33	1.93	-	735.66
Tulare ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	547.83
Tulare ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	615.78
Trans Utility ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
West Stanislaus ID	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Westlands WSD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.65	38.65	-	18.26
Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 419.50	\$ 2,437.04	\$ -	\$ 21,226.39
															\$ 24,082.93

1/ These contractors dropped out for all years litigation in 2011.

2/ These contractors have either not responded or decided not to participate in the Remand Appeal and will not be billed for these costs.

<b>SB 1049 - SWRCB Fees Litigation Costs</b> <b>CVP Water Contractors (South of NCMWC) Share of Litigation Costs</b> <b>For the Period from 4/1/15 - 2/28/17 (see "Note" below)</b>						
Name	CVPWA Member		Total 14th Billing Amount	Unpaid 13th Billing Amount	Amount Paid	Balance Due
	Y	N				
Arvin-Edison WSD	Y		2,887.40			2,887.40
Banta-Carbona ID (4)	Y		205.26			205.26
Broadview WD (1)		N/A	-			-
Byron Bethany ID	Y		169.13			169.13
Centinella Water District (1)		N/A	-			-
Central San Joaquin WCD (16)		N	72.48			72.48
Chowchilla WD (14) (16)		N	-			-
City of Coalinga (11) (20)	Y		-			-
City of Fresno (11) (16)	Y		-			-
City of Huron (2) (11)	Y		21.91			21.91
City of Orange Cove (2) (11) (20)		N	-			-
City of Roseville	Y		262.73			262.73
City of Tracy (4) (5)		N/A	-			-
City of West Sacramento (20)		N	-			-
Contra Costa WD	Y		1,601.04			1,601.04
Del Puerto Water District	Y		1,151.19			1,151.19
Delano-Earlimart ID (16)	Y		165.80			165.80
Eagle Field Water District	Y		37.37			37.37
East Bay MUD (20)	Y		1,091.99			1,091.99
El Dorado ID	Y		61.99			61.99
Exeter ID (11) (20)	Y		-			-
Fresno Irrigation District (6)	Y		-			-
Fresno Slough WD (11)	Y		-			-
Hills Valley ID (11) (20)	Y		-			-
International WD (2) (11) (12) (16)	Y		-			-
Ivanhoe ID (11) (20)	Y		-			-
James ID	Y		289.84			289.84
Kern-Tulare WD (13) (15) (16)	Y		-			-
Laguna Water District (11) (12) (16)	Y		-			-
Lindmore ID (11) (16)	Y		-			-
Lindsay-Strathmore ID (3)	Y		-			-
Lower Tule River ID (15) (16)	Y		-			-
Madera ID (14) (16)	Y		-			-
Mercy Springs WD (20)	Y		23.34			23.34
Orange Cove ID (7)	Y		-			-
Oro Loma WD (11) (16)	Y		-			-
Pacheco Water District (20)	Y		83.42			83.42
Panoche Water District (20)	Y		771.79			771.79
Patterson ID	Y		135.47			135.47
Pixley Irrigation District (15) (16)	Y		-			-
Placer County WA	Y		287.36			287.36
Porterville ID (11) (16)	Y		-			-
Rag Gulch Water District (13) (15) (16)		N/A	-			-
Reclamation District #1606 (12)	Y		1.88			1.88
Sacramento County WA (16)		N	-			-
Sacramento MUD	Y		246.31			246.31
San Benito CWD	Y		359.62			359.62
San Juan WD	Y		198.70			198.70
San Luis Water District	Y		1,026.96			1,026.96
Santa Clara Valley WD	Y		1,264.95			1,264.95
Saucelito Irrigation District (8) (16)	Y		-			-
Shafter-Wasco ID (20) (21)	Y		735.66			735.66



So San Joaquin MUD (3)		N/A	-	-
Stockton East WD	Y		615.78	615.78
Stone Corral ID (18)	Y		-	-
Tea Pot Dome WD (12) (16)	Y		-	-
Terra Bella ID (9) (12) (16)	Y		-	-
The West Side ID (4) (22)	Y		20.52	20.52
Tranquility ID (11)	Y		100.80	100.80
Tulare ID (10) (16) (19)	Y		-	-
West Stanislaus ID (20) (21)	Y		410.52	410.52
Westlands WD (1) (21)	Y		9,781.72	9,781.72
Widren Water District (1)		N/A	-	-
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>\$ 24,082.93</b>	<b>\$ - \$ - \$ 24,082.93</b>
<hr/>				
Total SSD Costs Through February 28, 2017			\$ 2,573,500.24	
CVP Water Association Share			0.4167	
Calculated Share of SSD Costs			\$ 1,072,375.85	
Less: First Billing Collection			\$ 89,298.37	
Less: Second Billing Collection			\$ 109,092.81	
Less: Third Billing Collection			\$ 41,597.97	
Less: Fourth Billing Collection			\$ 54,648.44	
Less: Fifth Billing Collection			\$ 36,566.02	
Less: Sixth Billing Collection			\$ 89,062.29	
Less: Seventh Billing Collection			\$ 13,699.78	
Less: Eighth Billing Collection			\$ 38,238.31	
Less: Ninth Billing Collection			\$ 57,291.49	
Less: Tenth Billing Collection			\$ 62,151.75	
Less: Eleventh Billing Collection (21)			\$ 125,831.45	
Less: CA Farm Bureau Contribution (17)			\$ 25,000.00	
Less: Twelfth Billing Collection			\$ 146,379.14	
Less: Paid by Westlands WD (21)			\$ 99,588.42	
Less: Thirteenth Billing Collection			\$ 59,846.68	
Amount Allocated for 14th Billing			\$ 24,082.93	

- (1) Broadview WD, Centinella WD and Widren WD assigned their contracts of 27,000 AF, 2,500 AF and 2,990 AF, respectively, to Westlands WD. Accordingly, Broadview, Centinella and Widren allocations have each been changed to 0, and Westlands total was increased by the corresponding 32,490 AF.
- (2) These are Non-Complainants but Members of the CVP Water Association. Therefore, they are covered by the Litigation.
- (3) Neither SSJMUD nor Lindsay-Strathmore ID (LSID) are participants in the SWRCB Fee litigation. Discussion with SSD on 12/20/04 disclosed that SSJMUD is not a complainant in the initial litigation. Discussion with SSD during July 2005 disclosed that LSID did not intend to be included in the litigation and SSD does not have a letter of representation on file for LSID. Accordingly, the amounts of \$2,797.70 and \$1,131.95 that were originally billed to SSJMUD and LSID, respectively, have been reallocated among the remaining complainants in the second billing and third billing, respectively.
- (4) By letter dated March 19, 2005, Banta-Carbona ID and West Side ID informed us that they had assigned 5,000 and 2,500 AF, respectively of their CVP contract supplies to the City of Tracy. Accordingly, effective with the third billing of SSD costs, Banta Carbona ID's share will be based on 20,000 AF, West Side ID's 5,000 AF and the City of Tracy's will be based on 17,500 AF (10,000 + 5,000+2,500).
- (5) Although the City of Tracy signed a letter of representation with SSD, the City of Tracy has stated that its understanding is that this would not bind the City of Tracy into becoming a cost share participant. The amount of \$411.62 which was originally allocated to the City of Tracy has been reallocated among the remaining complainants.
- (6) Fresno ID discontinued its participation in all aspects of the litigation effective February 28, 2005. This is at the time of the second CVPWA SWRCB Fee Litigation Billing. They are not responsible for any costs after that date.

- (7) Orange Cove ID is a participant in the original litigation only (i.e., they have declined to participate in any subsequent litigation). Accordingly, they are only responsible for a share of the costs of the original litigation.
- (8) Saucelito ID is only a participant in the original and 2004/05 litigations (i.e., they have declined to participate in any subsequent litigation). Accordingly, they are only responsible for a share of the costs of the original and 2004/05 litigation costs.
- (9) Terra Bella ID is only a participant in the original litigation (i.e., they have declined to participate in any subsequent litigation). Accordingly, they are only responsible for a share of the costs of the original litigation.
- (10) Tulare ID is only a participant in the original and 2004/05 litigations (i.e., they have declined to participate in any subsequent litigation). Accordingly, they are only responsible for a share of the costs of the original and 2004/05 litigation costs.
- (11) These contractors have participated in all aspects of the litigation through 2006/2007 (they have declined to participate in any subsequent litigation). Accordingly, they are only responsible for the share of the original, the 2004/05, 2005/06, and 2006/07 litigation costs.
- (12) These contractors outstanding balance due is less than \$25.00 and they will not be billed at this time.
- (13) Kern-Tulare and Rag Gulch merged in 2009. We will continue to maintain separate records for them and then add Rag Gulch's share to Kern-Tulare's when determining their total billing amount.
- (14) Chowchilla WD & Madera ID discontinued their participation in all aspects of the litigation effective May 31, 2011. This is at the time of the ninth CVPWA SWRCB Fee Litigation Billing. They are not responsible for any costs after that date.
- (15) Lower Tule River ID, Pixley ID & Kern-Tulare WD discontinued their participation in all aspects of the litigation effective June 30, 2011. This is at the time of the ninth CVPWA SWRCB Fee Litigation Billing. They are not responsible for any costs after that date.
- (16) These contractors have elected not to participate in the remand effort.
- (17) This represents the amount that the CA Farm Bureau has agreed to contribute and has been direct billed for. Their contributions will be used to offset CVPWA's share to compensate for those members who elected to not participate in the remand.
- (18) Stone Corral ID discontinued their participation in all aspects of the litigation effective October 25, 2011. This is at the time of the ninth CVPWA SWRCB Fee Litigation Billing. They are not responsible for any costs after that date.
- (19) Tulare ID discontinued their participation in all aspects of the litigation effective May 7, 2012.
- (20) These contractors have not agreed to participate in the amended budget remand effort and, therefore, are not allocated any of those costs.
- (21) Shafter-Wasco and West Stanislaus ID's, after receiving the 11th bill, agreed to participate in the amended budget remand. A credit balance occurred in the 11th billing for them when they paid their full amount (original and amended) rather than adjust their original billed amount. Westlands WD payments will be reduced by this same amount since they also paid for these contractors amended share in their 11th bill.
- (22) Based on a February 9, 2015 telephone call, West Side ID notified us that they had assigned another 2,500 AF to the City of Tracy. West Side ID share will now be based on 2,500 AF and the City of Tracy 20,000 AF.

Note: This is the 14th billing for these costs. The 13th billing was for the period 1/1/14 - 3/31/15 and has been repaid.

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

# Delta Coalition

Monday, April 10, 2017  
8:30 a.m.

San Joaquin Council of Government – Board Conference Room  
555 E. Weber Avenue

**PARKING:** For your convenience, parking is available at the SJCOG Regional Center off of Channel Street – Marked “Visitor” on the east side of the parking lot. There is additional parking available at Public Parking Lot K, located on American Street, just south of Weber Avenue. **If you use street parking, please be aware of the metered parking time limitations.**

To join the audio conference only  
-----

Call-in toll number (US/Canada)

1-650-479-3208

Access code: 808 714 954

## AGENDA

- 1) Welcome and Introductions
- 2) Approve Minutes: March 13, 2017
- 3) Update on Delta Plan Litigation  
John Luebberke, City of Stockton
- 4) Update on WaterFix / EcoRestore  
Kris Balaji, SJC Public Works and Kathy Miller, SJC Supervisor
- 5) Information / Action
- 6) Other Discussions / Communications
- 7) Next Meeting – Monday, May 8, 2017

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK

<b>Weekly Water Report</b>		
	As of: Apr. 17, 2017	As of: Apr. 24, 2017
<b>New Hogan (NHG) TOC</b>	<b>248,259</b>	<b>AF</b>
Storage:	233,344	AF
Net Storage Change:	+9,197	AF
Inflow:	740	CFS
Release:	17	CFS
<b>New Melones (NML) Allocation</b>	<b>75,000</b>	<b>AF</b>
Storage:	1,917,849	AF
Net Storage change:	+40,736	AF
Inflow:	6,710	CFS
Release:	2,348	CFS
<b>Source: CDEC Daily Reports</b>		

<b>Goodwin Diversion (GDW)</b>		
Inflow (Tulloch Dam):	2,489	CFS
Release to Stanislaus River (S-98):	2,209	CFS
Release to OID (JT Main):	361	CFS
Release to SSJID (SO Main):	33	CFS
Release to SEWD:	<u>0</u>	CFS
Total Release	2,603	CFS
<b>Source: Tri-Dam Operations Daily Report</b>		
<b>Farmington Dam (FRM)</b>		
Diverted to SEWD:	0	CFS
Diverted to CSJWCD:	0	CFS
<b>Source: USACE WCDS Hourly Report</b>		

<b>Surface Water Used</b>		
Irrigators on New Hogan:	0	
Irrigators on New Melones:	0	
DJWWTP Production:	12	MGD
North Stockton:	0	MGD
South Stockton:	0	MGD
Cal Water:	12	MGD
City of Stockton DWSP Production:	16	MGD

<b>District Ground Water Extraction</b>		
74-01	0	GPM
74-02	0	GPM
North	0	GPM
South	0	GPM
Extraction Well # 1	<u>0</u>	GPM
Total Well Water Extraction	<b>0</b>	<b>GPM</b>

THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK



# AGENDA

## ACWA State Legislative Committee

April 21, 2017

10:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.

- 
- |  |                            |
|--|----------------------------|
| 1. <b>Welcome</b>  | Kathy Cole, Chair          |
| 2. <b>Self-Introductions</b>   | Members, Guests, Staff     |
| 3. <b>Executive Director's Report</b>                                | Tim Quinn                  |
| A. <b>Federal Update</b>   |                            |
| B. <b>Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan Update</b>                |                            |
| C. <b>Other</b>  |                            |
| 4. <b>Deputy Executive Director for Government Relations' Report</b> | Cindy Tuck                 |
| A. <b>SCA 4 (Hertzberg) Update</b>                                   |                            |
| B. <b>Water Service Affordability (AB 401 Implementation)</b>        |                            |
| C. <b>Lead in Drinking Water Legislation</b>                         |                            |
| 5. <b>Long-Term Conservation Policy Framework</b>                    | Whitnie Wiley/Dave Bolland |
| 6. <b>Bill Packets</b>   |                            |
| 7. <b>Other Business</b>   |                            |
| 8. <b>Adjourn</b>  |                            |

**Reminder: Next State Legislative Committee Meeting on May 19, 2017**

**ACWA Spring Conference May 9-12 in Monterey**

\*Bill packets are also available online by logging on to [www.acwa.com](http://www.acwa.com).

To access, go to the About ACWA tab > ACWA Committees (left hand side) > State Legislative > 2017 State Legislative Committee Meeting Materials (Members Only)

**ACWA boardroom wifi network:** Neptune

**Wifi password:** 910@kstreet



THIS PAGE  
INTENTIONALLY  
LEFT BLANK